

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Cornerstone Services **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** Southwest of the Intersection of Black Road and Ann Street in Joliet, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200600294 (LRC-2006-14061)

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☒ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: JOLIET.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: JOLIET, 89.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: JOLIET, 1993.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Joliet, 1997
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: April 28, 2006
- ☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no wetlands located on the subject property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☒ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☒ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** 17 May 06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** 17 May 06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Pasquinnelli Development Group

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Muhich Property located

north of Millsdale Road and east of Brandon Road in the Cedar Creek watershed in unincorporated Jackson Township, Will County, IL Sec 4 T34N R10E

FILE NUMBER: 200500835

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☒ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☒ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELWOOD.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELWOOD, 254.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: ELWOOD, 1993.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: _____.
- ☒ Other information: Request for concurrence of negative findings dated July 27, 2005, prepared by EnCAP, Inc.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no waters on the project site.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☒ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☒ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 27, 2005, prepared by EnCAP, Inc.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** 24 MAY 06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** 24 MAY 06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Mark Zenkner **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** 1088 Center Drive, St. Charles, Kane County, Illinois (Section 2, T40N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200600292 (LRC-2006-14059) **PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** ☒ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: GENEVA, HA 142.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: _____
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: GENEVA, 1949.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- ☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 1999, no source
- ☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
- ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: May 25, 2006 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
- ☒ Other information: FEMA FIRM

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There is an unnamed tributary on the subject property which flows into Brewster Creek for a short distance before entering the Fox River, a navigable waterway. A fen (ADID#1946) is also on the subject property and has a surface water tributary system connection to the unnamed tributary. Therefore, the unnamed tributary and fen are both under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soils | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated August 8, 2005 , prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** 5/26/06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** 5/30/06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Paul Swanson **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** 77-acre Site Located South of Margaret Road and West of Will Center Road on Rock Creek tributary in Monee, Will County, IL
FILE NUMBER: 200500921 **PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** ☐ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: STEGER.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: STEGER, 209.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: STEGER, 1990.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: 22 MARCH 2006
- ☒ Other information: Delineation report dated July 14, 2005, prepared by CBBEL.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The areas described as Wetland #1 and Waters of the U.S. #1 on Exhibit 7 in the delineation report are tributary to Rock Creek and are jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. In addition to these areas, the waterway flowing from the subdivision north of the site and connecting to Waters of the U.S. #1 is also jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
- ☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____

Date: _____

Approved by: _____

Date: _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Heeney Builders **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** Lot 21 Of Country Club Estates In Long Grove, Lake County, Illinois (Section 31, T43N, R11E)

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-682 **PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** ☐ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: March 16, 2006
- ☐ Other information: _____.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 including the eroded drainage ditch, as referenced in your delineation report, are hydrologically connected to Buffalo Creek which drains to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water, and are therefore regulated by this office.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 26, 2006, prepared by Hey and Associates.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** 5/30/06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Costekin Development**PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** Squaw Creek/Fox River**FILE NUMBER:** LRC-2006-603**PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: GRAYSLAKE, 1993.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: _____
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Both wetlands on the property have a surface water connection to the Fox River, a navigable water of the United States, via Squaw Creek and are therefore also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: - S **Date:** 5/26/06 **Approved by:** _____ **Date:** _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Reva Bay L.L.C.

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Grass Lake/Fox River

FILE NUMBER: 200600344

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: FOX LAKE, 1993.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004 & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005
- ☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
- ☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
- ☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Grass lake is part of the Fox River Chain of Lakes system and a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- ☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: -5 **Date:** 5/30/06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Mr. Dan Machnik **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** 41092 N. Deep Lake Road In Antioch, Lake County, Illinois (Section 16, T46N, R9E)

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-709 **PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** ☐ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: April 25, 2006
- ☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland drains to Loon Lake which is hydrologically connected to the Fox River, a navigable water, and is therefore regulated by this office.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated April 1, 2006, prepared by DK Environmental Services, Inc..
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** 5/30/06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Mr. Rigoberto Garcia **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** 1524 40th Avenue In Stone Park, Cook County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-715

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELMHURST, 1993.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: _____.
- ☐ Other information: _____.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

Addison Creek is hydrologically connected to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** 5/30/06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Madison Homes **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** 100-Acre Property Southeast of Crawford Avenue and 203rd Street in Olympia Fields, Cook County, Illinois (Section 14, T35N, R13E).

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-610

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HARVEY.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HARVEY, 90.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HARVEY, 1993.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Cook County 1998
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: 16 May 2006
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Two wetlands were identified in the wetland delineation. A channel connecting the two wetlands was also noted during a 16 May 2006 site visit by representatives of this office. Both wetlands and the channel drain to Butterfield Creek, then to the Little Calumet River, a navigable water under jurisdiction of this office.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** _____

Approved by: **ORIGINAL SIGNED** **Date:** **MAY 24 2006**

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Condon Consulting Engineers PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-465 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HEBRON.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HEBRON, HA 363.
☒ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HEBRON, 1963.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: MCHENRY, 1944.
☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 1939, 2001, 2004
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: 3/30/2006
☒ Other information: FEMA FIRM map, McHenry County Natural Areas Inventory, NRCS Swampbuster map

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 in the northern portion of the subject property, connects to a large wetland north of the property, ADID High Habitat wetland #N10. Wetland 2 drains offsite from the southeastern portion of the property and also connects to ADID #N10. This large wetland complex drains to the North Branch Nippersink Creek, which Drains to Nippersink Creek, and the Fox River, a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: S Date: 5-2-2006Approved by: J Date: 5-3-2006

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Dennis Niklaus **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: 200600387 **PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- ☐ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: CRYSTAL LAKE, 1992.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2004
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
- ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: _____
- ☒ Other information: FEMA FIRM map

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The property is bordered to the south by Woods Creek, which flows to Crystal Creek, and to the Fox River, a navigable waterway, and is therefore jurisdictional. The house additions will not be completed within wetlands or waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** 5-1-2006

Approved by: _____ **Date:** 5-1-2006

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Plass Appliances **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** southwest corner of Army Trail Road and Valley View Road in Bloomindale, DuPage County, IL/West Branch DuPage River

FILE NUMBER: 200501106 **PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LOMBARD.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LOMBARD, HA 143.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LOMBARD, 1993.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
- ☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY..
- ☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
- ☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Project involves work within the West Branch of the DuPage River which is a jurisdictional waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED **Date:** 5-23-06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** MAY 24 2006

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (84-MNH-104), e.g., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Village of Libertyville **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** Along The Des Plaines River Located South of Rockland Road In The Village of Libertyville, Lake County, Illinois (Section 22, T44N, R11E)

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-605 **PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** ☒ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: April 27, 2006 _____.
- ☐ Other information: _____.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1, 2, and 3 as referenced in your delineation report, are under the jurisdiction of this office because they are a part of the Des Plaines River, a navigable water.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated March 20, 2006, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED **Date:** _____

Approved by: _____ **Date:** 23 May 06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Skirp Construction, Inc. **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** 8650 South 83rd Avenue in Hickory Hills, Cook County, Illinois (Section 35, T38N, R12E).

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2004-13093 **PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** ☐ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☒ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☒ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PALOS PARK.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: PALOS PARK, 1993.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Cook County 1998
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: 4 May 2006
- ☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Area does not meet the three criteria for a wetland in accordance with the Corps 1987 Manual.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- ☐ the presence of litter and debris
- ☐ shelving
- ☐ changes in the character of soil
- ☐ other:
- ☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☒ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☒ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** 22 May 06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: V3 Molitor, LLC **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** Property located north of the Illinois Prairie Path, south of Molitor Road and east of Felten Street in unincorporated Aurora, Kane County, Illinois (NE 1/4 of Section 12, T38N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200600289 **PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** ☒ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: AURORA NORTH.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: AURORA NORTH, HA 70.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA NORTH, 1993.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): No source or date
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: March 30, 2006 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
☒ Other information: FEMA FIRM, The Wetland Delineation and Assessment Report, dated August 25, 2004

(revised April 7, 2006), prepared by V3 Companies.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The on-site wetland continues south off the site and then west. Water flows through a drainage ditch north of the Illinois Prairie Path all the way to Indian Creek. Indian Creek is a tributary of the Fox River, a navigable waterway. Therefore, the wetland on-site (designated as Area 1 by V3) is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soils | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** 5/19/06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** 5/22/06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Catholic Charities Housing Development Corp. **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** West 78th Street and South Emerald Avenue

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-671

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☒ *There are no* waters on the project site.
- ☐ *There are* non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☐ *There are* waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ *There are* both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☒ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ENGLEWOOD.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ENGLEWOOD, 1993.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: _____.
- ☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The site contains an existing building and there are no waters of the U.S. present onsite.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- ☐ the presence of litter and debris
- ☐ changes in the character of soil
- ☐ wetland boundary
- ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- ☐ shelving
- ☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** 5-18-06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Landover Corporation

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Bluff Lake/Fox River watershed

FILE NUMBER: 200600439 (LRC-2006-23513)

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ANTIOCH, 1993.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- ☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, 1004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005
- ☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
- ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: _____
- ☐ Other information: _____

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Lake Michigan is a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- ☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____

Date: _____

ORIGINAL SIGNED

MAY 18 2006

Approved by: _____

Date: _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Keira Construction **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** Northwest of the Intersection of MOnee-Manhattan Road and Harlem Avenue in Green Garden, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200501114/LRC-2005-13862 **PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** ☒ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FRANKFORT.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FRANKFORT, 231.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: FRANKFORT, 1990.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- ☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Green Garden, 1997
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
- ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: April 21, 2006
- ☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland A is adjacent to the tributary located on the southern edge of the property. The tributary drains into Forked Creek, which then flows into the Des Plaines River a navigable waters of the U.S. Therefore, Wetland A and the tributary are jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated June 30, 2005 , prepared by JFNew .
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: — S — **Date:** 17 May 06

Approved by: — S — **Date:** 17 May 06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Stonecrest, Ltd **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** Southwest of the Intersection of Palmer and Central Avenue in University Park, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200600230 (LRC-2006-14020)

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FRANKFORT.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FRANKFORT, 231.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: FRANKFORT, 1990.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☒ Aerials (Name & Date): University Village, 1997
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: April 21, 2006
- ☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on subject property drains to the west under Interstate 57 ultimately discharging into Forked Creek. Forked Creek drains into the Des Plaines River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated November 3, 2005, prepared by JFNew.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: -5- **Date:** 17 May 06

Approved by: -5- **Date:** 17 May 06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Commercial Group **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** Southwest of the Intersection of South River Road and I-80 in Troy Township, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200600115 (LRC-2006-23402)

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHANNAHON.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: CHANNAHON, 362.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: CHANNAHON, 1993.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Troy Twp, 1997
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: April 28, 2006
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on the subject property drains into the DuPage River. The DuPage River discharges into the Des Plaines River, a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated August 18, 2005 , prepared by JFNew .
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: — S — **Date:** 17 Mar 06

Approved by: — S — **Date:** 17 Mar 06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Timberlake Civic Association

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Timberlake/Fox River watershed

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-562

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005
- ☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
- ☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
- ☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Timberlake is tributary to the Fox River via Flint Creek. The Fox River is a navigable water of the United States and therefore Timberlake is also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- ☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: — S — **Date:** 5/10/06

Approved by: — S — **Date:** 5/11/06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Timberlake Civic Association

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Timberlake/Fox River watershed

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-524

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- ☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005
- ☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
- ☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
- ☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Timberlake is tributary to the Fox River via Flint Creek. The Fox River is a navigable water of the United States and therefore Timberlake is also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- ☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ **Date:** 5-9-06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** 5-9-06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Potomac Corporation **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** 23.4 Acre Property Along Old Willow Road and McDonald Creek in Wheeling, Cook County, Illinois (Section 23, T42N, R11E).

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-14023

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- ☐ There are no waters on the project site.
- ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- ☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- ☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- ☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, HA 67.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
- ☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, 1993.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- ☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Cook County 1998
- ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
- ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: 4 May 2006
- ☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

Wetland W-1 is adjacent to McDonald Creek. McDonald Creek drains to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water under jurisdiction of this office.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - ☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- ☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated 15 November 2005, prepared by Raisanen & Associates, Inc.
- ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: - S - **Date:** 5/5/06

Approved by: _____ **Date:** _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.